PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

IMMR-0029B

Date

TRANSMITTAL FORM	Application Number	09/755,383
	Filing Date	01/05/01
	First Named Inventor	Bruce M. Shena
	Art Unit	2673
	Examiner Name	David Lee Lewis
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		

Total Number of Pages in This Submissi	n	Attorney Docket N	umber	IMMR-0029B		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form	☐ Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to TC		
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Reply	Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		ress	Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
	Request for Refund			POSTCARD		
Express Abandonment Request	CD, Number of CD(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement	☐ Lan	ndscape Table on CD				
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remarks					
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	J					
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53						
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm	THELEN REID & PRIEST, LLP					
Signature						
Printed Name	Khaled Shami					
Date	1/3	/ '05	Reg. No.	38,745		
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.						
Signature Signature						

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Ruth Rodriquez

Typed or printed name



Docket No.: IMMR-0029B Serial No.: 09/755,383

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:

Bruce M. Schena et al.

SERIAL NO.:

09/755,383

FILING DATE:

January 5, 2001

TITLE:

Force Feedback Interface Device with Touchpad Sensor

**EXAMINER:** 

David Lee Lewis

TELEPHONE:

(703) 306-3026

FAX:

(703) 872-9306

ART UNIT:

2673

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: Appeal Brief-Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date printed below:

Name:

Ruth Rodriquez

MAIL STOP APPEAL BRIEF-PATENTS **COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS** P.O. BOX 1450 **ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450** 

## **REPLY BRIEF**

Dear Sir:

This Reply Brief is responsive to the Examiner's Answer mailed November 3, 2005, in the above-captioned application. Consideration of the following is respectfully urged.

Docket No.: IMMR-0029B Serial No.: 09/755,383

The Examiner equates Hannaford's planar assembly 20 with the touchpad sensor of claims 47, 60 and 71 of the present application. This is untenable. Planar assembly 20 is a sophisticated mechanical system with numerous interacting precision components. The complex design seeks to eliminate several undesirable characteristics plaguing mechanical systems of this type, including backlash, high friction and high inertia. (Hannaford, col. 2, 11.30 - 39). All of these characteristics, when present, would detract from the ability to perform the delicate and precise procedures with which the Hannaford system may be tasked, such as manipulation of the cutting point of a scalpel in a simulated surgery.

Because of its complexity, planar assembly 20 of Hannaford is ill-suited for wide-spread consumer applications. For instance, it would be costly to produce and difficult to maintain, and would not withstand the daily usage by multiple members—young and old—of a typical household when home use is contemplated. In contrast, the touchpad sensor of the invention is a single electro-mechanical component that is compact, robust and easily and economically mass produced. One reason for its compactness and simplicity is its detection of <u>force</u> in the Z-direction, to be distinguished from <u>full range Z-direction motion</u> detection performed by planar assembly 20 of Hannaford. The need to detect full range Z-direction motion requires sufficient space to accommodate such full range Z-direction motion, and in Hannaford, links 68 and 70 are provided for this. The touchpad sensor of the invention, by comparison, is effectively flat and "dimensionless" in the Z-direction. It has effectively no range of motion in that direction (except for the limited amount required to register a Z-direction force), and requires no mechanical support or attendant space to accommodate such motion. It is of significantly less complexity,

Docket No.: IMMR-0029B

Serial No.: 09/755,383

having no mechanically linked or moving parts, and can be acquired using off-the-shelf

components requiring no custom production.

For these reasons at least, planar assembly 20 of Hannaford cannot be equated with the

touchpad sensor of the invention. Noll and Zilles add little to alleviate this shortcoming.

Accordingly, the prior art rejections based on Hannaford as combined with either Noll alone, or

with both Noll and Zilles, is improper and should be withdrawn.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any

overpayment to Deposit Account 50-1698.

Respectfully submitted, THELEN, REID, & PRIEST LLP

Dated: January 3, 2006

Reg. No. 38,745

Thelen, Reid, & Priest LLP P.O. Box 640640

San Jose, CA 95164-0640

Tel. (408) 292-5800

Fax (408) 287-8040